## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/786,536	GU, JIAN			
Examiner	Art Unit			
MON CHERI S. DAVENPORT	2616			
	10/786,536 Examiner	10/786,536 GU, JIAN <b>Examiner</b> Art Unit		

	MON CHERI S. DAVENPORT	2616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 04 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	n. .ED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origit than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,     (a) They raise new issues that would require further co     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);		
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowen	no honouso:	
See continuation.	it does NOT place the application in	condition for anowari	de Decause.	
12. $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
13. Other:				
	/Mon Cheri S Davenpor Examiner, Art Unit 2616	t/		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)